



(CORRECTED MINISTRY) THE TRUE ORIGIN OF THE WORD (NOT NAME) CHRISTIAN! IT REALLY DOES NOT MEAN "CHRIST LIKE"IT NEVER DID! Acts 11:26

- Posted by [Jaja Azikiwe](#) on December 24, 2011 at 11:30am in [CORRECTION FOR THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH!](#)

THE PROBLEM WITH READING THE WORD IN ENGLISH IS THAT WE DO NOT SEE THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE, but what is worse about the word **Christian** is that **THE ORIGINAL WORD USED WAS TRANSLATED INTO ENGLISH, BACK INTO GREEK FROM ENGLISH AND THEN BACK INTO ENGLISH**. So, we ended up with **CHRISTIAN/CHRISTIANOS**. But neither is the word used at Antioch or what was being hurled at the **DISCIPLES** that got them so upset.

Christianos/Christian at first used to mock the disciples who believed Jesus was the seed of David and the fulfillment of the expected Messiah king of Israel

Christi-anos (Greek).

At first the followers of Jesus were called **DISCIPLES (Greek Strongs #3101-- pupil/learner: men-Acts 9:18, 26; women-Acts 9:35, 36)**; because of their religious faith they were called "**BELIEVERS AND SAINTS**." **MEN** were called **BRETHREN**-(Acts 9:30, 31; and **WOMEN SISTERS** -(Acts 16:1, 1Cor 7:15, 1Tim 5:2). In Antioch, a Syrian city (Acts 11:26), the disciples were first called Christianos (christi-anos, christi Strongs #5547 + abbrev anosios Strongs #462).

BUT DEEPER RESEARCH TAKES US TO THE ACTUAL WORD THAT WAS USED BY KING AGRIPPA:

Anos/Anosios (Greek).

Anos is an abbreviation of **anosios** both meaning **unholy, impious, and wicked (Strongs #462)**. The term is found in the New Testament two times: **1Tim 1:9-unholy and 2Tim 3:2-unholy**. **Anosios** is further defined as **a) Strongs #1 a negative particle: "hosios" Strongs #3741 pure, pious, sacred, holy, consecrated**. Thus "**anosios**" means **not pure, not pious, not sacred, not holy, and not consecrated**.

I HAVE DONE ADDITIONAL RESEARCH AND IT WOULD SEEM THAT THE ABBREVIATION OF CHRISTI-ANOSIOS TO CHRISTIANOS, WAS IN FACT DONE BY DISCIPLES TO TAKE THE STING OUT OF THE WORD. DUE TO LACK OF STUDY, SUCH AS IS THE CASE TODAY WITH THE CHURCH, THE ORIGIN WAS LOST AND DISCIPLES STARTED CALLING 'THEMSELVES' XTIAN

To call the disciples christianos is therefore no badge of pride nor a name to bear of which one would be proud. If the intent of the defamation was to speak evil of Jesus when this name was coined it cannot be made into a holy description by which disciples should name themselves. Whoever began to call the disciples followers of an unholy Christ, certainly meant to create fear and horror in the minds of the world.

Christian (English).

THE TERM CHRISTIAN DOESN'T AND NEVER DID TRANSLATE IN TO "CHRIST LIKE" OR "FOLLOWERS OF THE WAY", WAS AN INTENTIONALLY ACT OF MULTIPLE TRANSLATION.

The name given to the disciples of Christ in the Syrian city Antioch by unbelievers. **It is interpreted to mean "Christ-like" but this does not agree with the etymology of the name. Christi** is derived from the Greek root chrio (Strong's # 5547-5548) and means **anointed**, through the idea of one being consecrated by smearing or rubbing a person with oil. When applied to Jesus the term Christi meant he had been consecrated with a special spiritual anointing to perform ministerial functions (see Luke 4:18--'he has anointed (chrio) me'). **BUT ADD "TIANOS" AND YOU HAVE THE DEAD OPPOSITE: The term Christian was not a holy name from its beginning.**

Scripture Text (KJV).

Acts 26:28--Then Agrippa said unto Paul, almost thou persuadest me to be a Christian.

WHAT WAS REALLY SAID WAS, "*Then Agrippa said unto Paul, almost thou persuadest me to be an Anos/Anosios Christi-anos.*

The meaning of Agrippa's use of "Christian" is noteworthy. He implied he was almost ready to accept Jesus who had been called a christianos an impure, unholy, and impious Christ. He was almost willing to allow that infamy name to be called against him, **BUT HIS TRADITIONAL LEARNING AS A JEW WOULD NOT ALLOW HIM TO SEE THE ANOINTED DEITY OF CHRIST. There is no verse in the scriptures where any disciple or believer called themselves a Christianos.**

1Peter 4:16--Yet if any man suffer as a Christian, let him not be ashamed; but let him glorify God on this behalf.

One of the tragic mistakes of believers is to assume the name Christian was used by the disciples. There is no evidence of this at all in the scriptures. In this text believers were being persecuted as followers of Jesus whom the antichrist was saying was an unholy, impious, and wicked Christ impostor. **ACTUALLY, WHAT PETER SAID WAS, "*Yet if any man suffer as a Anos/Anosios Christi-anos., let him not be ashamed; but let him glorify God on this behalf.***

The disciples and believers were suffering **indignity and disrespect of their faith.** Peter encourages them that if they suffer as one who is accused of following Jesus **as an unholy, impious, and wicked Christ impostor, to not be ashamed.** The implication was, **to be accused of something false was not a reason to be ashamed.** In their hearts the disciples believed Jesus was the Messiah prince and King of Israel.

For this and the salvation he ushered into the world, they were willing to suffer the name "christianos."

The name Christian was never used by a disciple or early believer to identify themselves but was coined by those who hated the followers of Jesus. **The enemies of Jesus considered him to be an unholy, impious, and wicked Christ impostor: thus, those who believed in him as Messiah were called "Christi-anos" or followers of an impious Christ first in Antioch.**

[Share Facebook](#)